

Stat 414 – Day 11 Random Slopes cont., Centering (4.6, 5.2)

Last Time: Random slopes (Ch. 5)

- $Y_{ij} = \beta_{00} + u_{0j} + (\beta_{10} + u_{1j})x_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$
 - Effect of x_{ij} differs among Level 2 groups (e.g., schools)
 - Adds variance component and covariance between slopes and intercepts
- $\text{Var}(Y_{ij}) = \tau_0^2 + 2\tau_{01}x_{ij} + \tau_1^2x_{ij}^2 + \sigma^2$
 - Results impacted by choice of scaling (origin) of x variables
 - Smallest when $x = -\tau_{01}/\tau_1^2$ (correlation vs. covariance)
 - Expect 95% of slopes within $\beta_{10} \pm 2\tau_1$
- Cov of two individuals in same group: $\tau_0^2 + \tau_{01}(x_{aj} + x_{bj}) + \tau_1^2(x_{aj}x_{bj})$
 - No simple ICC (depends on x)
- Explaining level 2 variance
 - Consider intercepts, slopes of “outcomes”

Keep in mind: With random slopes,

$$\text{Corr}(Y_{aj}, Y_{bj}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(Y_{aj}, Y_{bj})}{\text{SD}(Y_{aj}) \text{SD}(Y_{bj})}$$

$$= \frac{\tau_0^2 + \tau_{01}(x_{aj} + x_{bj}) + \tau_1^2(x_{aj}x_{bj})}{\sqrt{(\tau_0^2 + 2\tau_{01}x_{aj} + \tau_1^2x_{aj}^2 + \sigma^2)(\tau_0^2 + 2\tau_{01}x_{bj} + \tau_1^2x_{bj}^2 + \sigma^2)}}$$

For Netherlands data:

<pre>Random effects: Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr school (Intercept) 0.28050 0.5296 gevocab 0.01922 0.1386 -0.86 Residual 3.66613 1.9147</pre> <p style="color: blue; margin-top: 10px;"> <code>getVarCov(model1b, type = "marginal")</code> <code>cov2cor(cov\$'767')</code> <code>cov2cor(cov[[1]])</code> </p>	
---	--

Not a simple intraclass correlation coefficient with random slopes.

Example 1: Day 10 Example 2 cont. (lmer)

<pre>Random effects: Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr school (Intercept) 3.6391814 1.90766 gevocab 0.2605131 0.51040 -0.08 age 0.0005558 0.02357 -0.69 -0.66 Residual 3.6076583 1.89938</pre>	<pre>Random effects: Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr school (Intercept) 0.10238213 0.319972 cgevocab 0.01906890 0.138090 0.53 cage 0.00002486 0.004986 -0.28 -0.96 Residual 3.66396826 1.914149</pre>
<pre>Fixed effects: gread ~ gevocab + age Value Std.Error DF (Intercept) 2.9614055 0.4151887 10158 gevocab 0.5191496 0.0143563 10158 age -0.0088390 0.0038396 10158</pre>	<pre>Fixed effects: Estimate Std. Error t value (Intercept) 4.343884 0.032704 132.825 cgevocab 0.519277 0.014361 36.159 cage -0.008882 0.003822 -2.324</pre>

Definition: Grand mean centering is subtracting off the overall mean, $y_{ij} - \bar{y}$. Benefits include interpretation of intercepts, reduce collinearity in “product terms.” Can also be especially helpful with strong slope/intercept covariance. “When working with random coefficient models, it is best that **all** independent variables be centered.

Group mean centering is subtracting off the group mean, $y_{ij} - \bar{y}_j$. This is not equivalent and impacts interpretation, but is less common in practice.

(a) Can we include an interaction between age and gevocab in the two cases? How do we interpret this interaction?

(b) How does centering *gevocab* impact our earlier analysis?

(c) What if don't want the random slopes to be correlated?

Example 2: Recall the data predicting *PctBush* with counties clustered within states. We want to consider the percentage of families in the county in a traditional nuclear form as predictor.

(a) What is the overall mean *PctFamily*?

(b) What are the means of *PctFamily* for the first four states?

AL AR AZ CA

(c) What would *PctFamily* be if grand-mean centered? Group-mean centered?

state_po	county	PctBush	Income	PctFamily	Grand mean centered	Group mean centered
AL	Autauga	75.67352	48.458	25.3		
AR	Boone	66.27191	34.974	19.6		
CA	Orange	59.80009	64.611	26.1		

(d) In a simple model with a fixed slope for *PctFamily*, how do the slope and intercept compare depending on which version you use? Why?

	Intercept	Slope
Raw data		
Grand mean		
Group mean		

(e) In a model with a random slope for *PctFamily*, how do the slope and intercept and slope/intercept correlation values compare for the different versions? What about the prediction for Autauga?

	Intercept	Slope	Corr	\hat{y}
Raw data				
Grand mean				
Group mean				

(f) Which models are equivalent in the predicted values? Why?

In considering Level 2 variables to add to the model, an “especially important type” of “contextual variable” is the group mean.

(g) Include pctfamilyavg in the model, along with the interaction with PctFam.

(h) Include StatePctFam, grand-mean centered, in the model and compare interpretations depending on how the Level 1 PctFam variable is centered.

	Intercept	PctFam	StatePctFam	
Raw data	17.4	0.89	1.22	
Both Grand mean	57.5	0.89	1.22	
L1: Group mean/ L2: Grand mean	57.5	0.89*	2.11	

Note: Testing the group level coefficient (coefficient of the group mean) is referred to as the Hausman specification test in econometrics.

(i) What does a positive coefficient for the group mean tell you?

(j) What do you notice about the sum of the slope coefficients in row 2 and the slope coefficients in row 3?

(k) How do these results compare to the “within group” regression and “between group” regression?

(l) How do you summarize and evaluate the significance of the “contextual effect”?

Example 3: Reconsider the Netherlands data on language score based on verbal IQ from the text (e.g., Section 4.6)

(a) Fit the model with both IQ and mean IQ. What is the slope of the “within group” regression?

(b) What is the additional contribution to langPOST from the class mean verbal IQ? Is this statistically significant?

(c) What if we put both grand-mean centered IQ and grand-mean centered mean IQ in the model; how do we interpret the coefficient of mean IQ in this model?

(d) How does the coefficient of mean IQ change when we use the group-mean centered verbal IQ?

(e) How does this coefficient compare to the slope of mean IQ in the aggregated data set?

(f) Interpret the slope/intercept correlation in the multilevel model.

(g) What about including SES in the model?